ı	1	
1	Pamela M. Egan, WSBA No. 54736 POTOMAC LAW GROUP PLLC	
2	1905 7 th Ave. W. Seattle, WA 98119	
3	Telephone: (415) 297-0132 Email: pegan@potomaclaw.com	
4	Attorneys for Mark D. Waldron, Chap	ter 7 Trustee
5	UNITED STATES RA	ANKRUPTCY COURT
6		T OF WASHINGTON
7	In re:	Case No. 18-03197 FPC 11
8	GIGA WATT, Inc., a Washington	The Honorable Frederick P. Corbit
9	corporation, Debtor.	Chapter 7
10		Chapter 7
11	MARK D. WALDRON, as Chapter 7 Trustee,	Adv. Case No. 20-80031
12	Plaintiff, vs.	TRUSTEE'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT
13	PERKINS COIE LLP, a Washington	OF REPLY TO PERKINS' AND NESS' OPPOSITION TO
14	limited liability partnership, LOWELL NESS, individual and	TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO STRIKE CROMWELL
15	California resident, GIGA WATT PTE., LTD., a Singapore corporation,	AFFIDAVIT
16	and ANDREY KUZENNY, individual and Russian citizen,	
17	Defendants,	
18	- and -	
19	THE GIGA WATT PROJECT, a	
20	partnership,	
21	Nominal Defendant.	
22	TRUSTEE'S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL NO	OTICE
23	ISO REPLY TO PERKINS' AND NESS OPP. TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO	
24	STRIKE CROMWELL AFFIDAVIT	Page 1
25	20-80031-FPC Doc 130 Filed 11/10/22	Entered 11/10/22 16:36:33 Pg 1 of 5

1	Mark D. Waldron, in his capacity as the duly appointed Chapter 7 Trustee,	
2	by and through his attorneys, the Potomac Law Group PLLC, hereby submits his	
3	Request for Judicial Notice in Support of the Trustee's Reply to Perkins' and	
4	Ness' Opposition to Trustee's Motion to Strike Cromwell Affidavit, filed herewith	
5	Pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Trustee	
6	respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of the documents listed	
7	below.	
8	REQUESTS FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE OF DOCUMENTS	
9	1. Ex Parte Order for Rule 2004 Examination of Perkins Coie LLP,	
10	dated July 6, 2020, filed in the above-captioned bankruptcy case, ECF No. 637,	
11	attached hereto as Exhibit A.	
12	2. Order Regarding Production of Documents Responsive to Rule 2004	
13	Order and Placing Limits on the Use of Confidential Information in Such	
14	Documents, dated August 13, 2020, filed in the above-captioned bankruptcy case,	
15	ECF No. 673, attached hereto as Exhibit B .	
16	3. Stipulation Re Preserving Privilege Pursuant to FRE 502(d), dated	
17	September 11, 2020, in the above-captioned bankruptcy case, ECF No. 706,	
18	attached hereto as Exhibit C.	
19	4. Stipulated Order Preserving Privilege Pursuant to FRE 502(d), filed	
20	on September 14, 2020 in the above captioned bankruptcy case, ECF No. 714,	
21	attached hereto as Exhibit D.	
22	TRUSTEE'S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE ISO REPLY TO PERKINS' AND NESS' OPP. TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO	
23		
24		

20-80031-FPC Doc 130 Filed 11/10/22 Entered 11/10/22 16:36:33 Pg 2 of 5

1		
1	5. Order Denying Appellant's Motion to Seal, dated June 8, 2022, filed	
2	in the U.S. District Court, E.D. Washington, Dam v. Waldron, Case No. 2:21-cv-	
3	00291-SAB, jointly administered with Case No. 2:22-cv-22-cv-00040-SAB ("AS	
4	<u>& PI Appeal</u> "), ECF No. 36, attached hereto as Exhibit E .	
5	6. Excerpts of <i>Appellant's Appendix, Vol. 1 of 4</i> , dated June 9, 2022,	
6	filed in the AS & PI Appeal, ECF No. 38. The cover page of the Appendix and the	
7	first page of the unredacted complaint submitted by Mr. Dam is attached hereto as	
8	Exhibit F. (The caption in the complaint included in Mr. Dam's Appendix is	
9	incorrect due to a clerical error by the Trustee's counsel. However, the unredacted	
10	complaint that Mr. Dam submitted to the District Court is otherwise identical to	
11	ECF No. 11, filed under seal in the above-captioned Adversary Proceeding. See	
12	Egan Decl. at ¶ 31.	
13	7. Declaration of Andrey Kuzenny, et al, filed March 7, 2019 in the	
14	main case, ECF No. 226, Exhibit G.	
15	8. Excerpts of Defendants David M. Carlson, Enterprise Focus, Inc.	
16	and Clever Capital, LLC's Memorandum re: Show Cause, filed May 16, 2019,	
17	ECF No. 40, in this case, adversary proceeding no. 19-80012, attached hereto as	
18	Exhibit H.	
19	9. Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, filed September 17,	
20	2020, in adversary proceeding, Dam v. Waldron, ECF No. 28; cover page of Orde	
21	attached hereto as Exhibit I.	
22	TRUSTEE'S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE	
23	ISO REPLY TO PERKINS' AND NESS' OPP. TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO	
24	STRIKE CROMWELL AFFIDAVIT Page 3	

20-80031-FPC Doc 130 Filed 11/10/22 Entered 11/10/22 16:36:33 Pg 3 of 5

1 Order Granting Trustee's Motion for Authority to Incur and Pay 10. 2 Expenses Incident to Discovery in Perkins Adversary, dated May 3, 2022, ECF 3 No. 958, attached hereto as Exhibit J. ARGUMENT 4 5 The foregoing documents fit squarely within the ambit of Rule 201, which provides: 6 7 The Court may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it: (2) can be accurately and readily 8 determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questions. 9 F.R.E. 201. 10 To determine the accuracy of the foregoing one need only review the docket 11 of this Court, the District Court, or the Court of Appeals. 12 It is standard for a bankruptcy court to take judicial notice of its own 13 docket. Katzenstein v. VIII SV5556 Lender, LLC (In re Saint Vincent's Catholic 14 Medical Centers of New York), 440 B.R. 587, 599 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010) (taking 15 judicial notice of the docket in the underlying bankruptcy case); In re Campbell, 16 500 B.R. 56, 59 n. 7 (Bankr. D. N.M. 2013) (electing to take judicial notice of the 17 entire file in the case for sake of completeness as a bankruptcy court has the 18 inherent authority to take judicial notice of entries on its own docket). Accord In 19 re Koper, 552 B.R. 208, 210 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2016). 20 21 22 TRUSTEE'S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE ISO REPLY TO PERKINS' AND NESS' 23 OPP. TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO STRIKE CROMWELL AFFIDAVIT Page 4 24 25

20-80031-FPC Doc 130 Filed 11/10/22 Entered 11/10/22 16:36:33 Pg 4 of 5

1	"Similarly, it is standard for a court to take judicial notice of the existence	
2	of another court's opinion." Metropolitan Creditors' Trust v. Pricewaterhouse-	
3	coopers, LLP, 463 F. Supp. 2d 1193, 1197–98 (E.D. Wash. 2006) (citing Cal. ex	
4	rel. RoNo, LLC v. Altus Fin. S.A., 344 F.3d 920, 931 (9th Cir. 2003) and Lee v.	
5	City of Los Angeles, 250 F.3d 668, 690 (9th Cir. 2001)).	
6	CONCLUSION	
7	Wherefore, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant the	
8	Motion, allow the Trustee to file the proposed First Amended Complaint, and	
9	grant such other and further relief as the Court deems necessary and just.	
10	Dated: November 10, 2022 POTOMAC LAW GROUP PLLC	
11		
12	By: s/ Pamela M. Egan Pamela M. Egan (WSBA No. 54736)	
13	Attorneys for Mark D. Waldron, Chapter Trustee, Plaintiff	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	TRUSTEE'S DEO EOR HIDICIAL NOTICE	
23	TRUSTEE'S REQ. FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE ISO REPLY TO PERKINS' AND NESS' OPP. TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO	
24	STRIKE CROMWELL AFFIDAVIT Page 5	
25		

20-80031-FPC Doc 130 Filed 11/10/22 Entered 11/10/22 16:36:33 Pg 5 of 5